

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

CC.MEXICANO.US, LLC,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

AERO II AVIATION, INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:14-cv-00108-JCM-NJK

ORDER

(Docket Nos. 119, 128)

Pending before the Court is Defendant Crittenden's attorneys' motion to withdraw as counsel. Docket No. 119. Plaintiff filed a notice of non-opposition. Docket No. 125. Defendant Crittenden filed a response. Docket No. 127. Also pending before the Court is Defendant Crittenden's emergency motion to continue the hearing set on the motion to withdraw. *See* Docket No. 128. The Court finds the motion to withdraw properly decided without oral argument. *See* Local Rule 78-2. Accordingly, the hearing on the motion to withdraw is hereby **VACATED** and the emergency motion to continue is hereby **DENIED** as moot (Docket No. 128).<sup>1</sup>

For good cause shown, the Court also **GRANTS** the motion to withdraw as counsel (Docket No. 119). The Clerk's Office is **INSTRUCTED** to update the docket with Defendant Crittenden's last known address. *See* Docket No. 119 at 5. Defendant Crittenden is further **ORDERED** to file, no later

---

<sup>1</sup> The hearing remains on calendar as it relates to Mr. Crittenden's co-defendants Aero II Aviation and George Blood. *See* Docket No. 126 (continuing order to show cause hearing to April 30, 2015 at 3:00 p.m.).

1 than May 27, 2015, either a notice of his intent to proceed *pro se* or a notice of newly retained counsel's  
2 appearance. The Court further reminds Defendant Crittenden that litigants proceeding *pro se* must  
3 comply with the rules of the Court and all Court orders. The failure to do so may result in the imposition  
4 of sanctions, up to and including case-dispositive sanctions.

5 Lastly, the Court had previously vacated Defendant Crittenden's deadline to respond to the  
6 pending motion to compel. *See* Docket No. 123; *see also* Docket No. 115 (motion to compel). The  
7 Court hereby **ORDERS** that Defendant Crittenden must respond to the motion to compel no later than  
8 June 10, 2015. Failure to file a response may be construed as a consent to the granting of the motion  
9 to compel. *See* Local Rule 7-2(d).

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 DATED: April 27, 2015

12  
13   
14 \_\_\_\_\_  
NANCY J. KOPPE  
United States Magistrate Judge  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28